

APPENDIX 'E'
Communication Plan

**TOWNSHIP OF SPRINGWATER
MASTER SERVICING PLAN
MIDHURST SECONDARY PLAN AREA**

COMMUNICATION PLAN

| DESCRIPTION | Comments/Concerns | Response | Response |
|---|--|---|--------------|
| July 22, 2004 letter from MTO Re: Midhurst Secondary Plan, Highway 26, Toronto Area | Completed Review of various related studies in connection with the Midhurst Settlement Capability and servicing Options Study. Comments on the following reports; Midhurst Settlement Capability and Servicing Options Study (March 2004: Summary); and Midhurst Secondary Plan, Servicing Options Study (Burnside & Associates). Includes following comments; Hwy 26 is a controlled access highway and access from properties will be limited, MTO permits and approval may be required for stormwater management and development within the Ministry's permit control areas, the municipality should undertake a detailed transportations study to support planned growth | None | None to date |
| June 12, 2008 letter from Ainley with Notice of Study Commencement | None | None | None to date |
| June 23, 2008 letter from Ministry of Attorney General | Provided contact for Ministry of Aboriginal Affairs to direct further correspondence | None | None to date |
| June 24, 2008 email from Bob and Ruth Byers (Midhurst residents) | Recommend consideration of a 'no trucks' designation for Finlay Mill Rd. Comment that Finlay Mill has significant traffic including vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle. Not that many drivers do not observe crosswalk signals which poses threat to pedestrian traffic. | July 25, 2008 letter responding to email and thanking for participation in study. Comments regarding truck traffic and vehicular speed on Finlay Mill Drive have been noted | None to date |
| June 24, 2008 letter from Simcoe Muskoka Catholic District School Board | Request to be kept informed of planning process. Note that they have four schools in the area and the outcome of this study may impact their planning for their schools. Also would like to be kept informed during road improvements as road closures may affect bus routes. | July 25, 2008 letter acknowledging receipt of letter and commenting that the Township will continue to provide information with respect to the project - particularly about potential impacts on school routes | None to date |
| June 24, 2008 email from Brad Sokach | Include Lyle Littlejohn to mailing list | July 25, 2008 letter to Lyle Littlejohn confirming that his name has been added to the contact list | None to date |
| June 26, 2008 letter from Ministry of the Environment | Identified the following as areas of concern; Ecosystem Protection and Restoration; Surface Water and Groundwater; Dust and Noise; Servicing and Facilities; Contaminated Soils; Mitigation and Monitoring; Planning and Policy; Class EA Process; First Nations Consultation. Provided general comments on each or this potential areas of concern. | July 25, 2008 letter acknowledging receipt of letter and noting that recommendations and suggestions will be considered. Also notes that the Midhurst Service area drains to the Nottawasaga River and ultimately to Georgian Bay so will not impact Lake Simcoe. | None to date |
| July 8, 2008 email from Ministry of Transportation | Notes that July 2004 comments are still applicable. Would like to be circulated on servicing proposals/options that may impact provincial highways within the study area. | July 25, 2008 letter acknowledging receipt of email requesting to be circulated on any servicing proposals/options that may impact provincial highways. Will add contact to mailing list. Also acknowledge that July 22, 2004 letter from MTO is still applicable | None to date |
| July 11, 2008 letter from City of Barrie | Would like to be involved in process and provide contact within Engineering Department to direct future correspondence. Express concern with Study Area because it includes lands within the City's boundary | None | None to date |

**TOWNSHIP OF SPRINGWATER
MASTER SERVICING PLAN
MIDHURST SECONDARY PLAN AREA**

COMMUNICATION PLAN

| DESCRIPTION | Comments/Concerns | Response | Response |
|---|---|--|---|
| July 21, 2008 email from Emilio Pompilio | Would like to be included on mailing list to receive correspondence related to project. Initial questions as per the Notice of Study Commencement that he requests to be addressed are as follows; 1. Will Township be paying for the noted studies; 2. What other studies will the Township of Springwater undertake as part of the Master Plan Study; 3. What is the budget; 4. Does the Township of Springwater intend to recover all or a portion of the costs from the various developers | None | None to date |
| July 29, 2008 letter from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada | Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) will not be providing a review of the project but notes all potentially interested First Nation communities should be contacted directly to invite them to participate. Provides information sources to determine which First Nation communities should be contacted. Also attached April 11, 2008 letter providing contact information for Ontario Region of INAC who should be contacted | None | None to date |
| August 11, 2008 letters from Ainley with Notice of PIC No. 1 | None | None | None to date |
| August 14, 2008 email from Ainley to City of Barrie | Provides overview of meeting with City of Barrie discussing Study Area shown to extend to within City limits and potential for City of Barrie providing servicing for Midhurst. Notes that servicing by the City is a political issue and would need to go through proper political channels. Also provides preliminary water and wastewater flow estimates to determine if City has capacity to provide servicing. Also discussed transportation issues and the City is willing to review the impacts of the options proposed using their model. | August 15, 2008 email from City requesting traffic volume options for alternatives without a 4 lane highway bypass around Barrie | August 19, 2008 email from Ainley noting that numbers previously provided are without a 4 lane highway bypass around Barrie as this is a County initiative. Offers to request County's numbers with a bypass if the City is interested |
| August 14, 2008 email from Jason McArthur | Expresses concern about volume and speed of traffic on Pooles Road and lack of action by Springwater Township as a result of previous complaints. Comments that it appears people are using Pooles Road as their route into Barrie, that there are families with young children living on Pooles Road (safety issue) and that currently there is no sidewalk. Questions if Pooles Road is designed to handle the volume of traffic - notes it is classified as a local road in Springwater's Plan. Suggests possible solutions include installing sidewalks on Pools; installing stop signs and Pooles @ Silverwood and Pooles @ Idlewood; extend St. Vincent through to Doran Rd to keep traffic on arterial roads; make Pooles a dead end at Russell Road. Also comments on litter on sides of Pooles Road with suggested solutions being to increase frequency and timing of yard waste pickup; provide a depot in Midhurst for large items and installing "Do Not Litter" signs including fines | August 14, 2008 email from Springwater Township to J. McArthur noting that the Township is undertaking a Master Plan study which will address transportation and traffic in Midhurst. Provides Ainley contact information and informs that a PIC would be held. Notes that sidewalks are being installed throughout Midhurst based on Midhurst Sidewalk report prepared in 1991 which does not recommend sidewalks along Pooles Road in the near future. However, sidewalks may be installed as part of the Secondary Plan and contact Ainley. Also notes that waste management is a County issue and provides required contact for Simcoe County. | August 25, 2008 email from J. McArthur further expressing his concerns with traffic and litter on Pooles Road and expressing dissatisfaction that his email addressed to his councillor was passed onto Public Works and then to the consultant. He reiterates his concern with safety on Pooles Road (especially for children) and that current studies may take years to come into fruition. He feels this issue needs to be addressed in the short term. He also notes that in his opinion, as the public works department is responsible for maintaining ditches, they should also be responsible for keeping them clean. |
| August 21, 2008 letter from Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority (LSRCA) | Notes that the Township of Springwater is outside the jurisdiction of the LSRCA and notes that the Nottawasaga Valley Conservation Authority (NVCA) should be contacted, provides contact information | None | None to date |

**TOWNSHIP OF SPRINGWATER
MASTER SERVICING PLAN
MIDHURST SECONDARY PLAN AREA**

COMMUNICATION PLAN

| DESCRIPTION | Comments/Concerns | Response | Response |
|---|--|--|--|
| September 3, 2008 email from Ministry of Transportation | No comment on circulation of August 11, 2008 (PIC Notice). Requested further information only be directed to Peter Dorton and not Roger Hanmer. Notes that comments on the August Draft Secondary Plan will be provided in the next few weeks | September 4, 2009 email from Ainley noting that Roger Hanmer will be removed from the mailing list and that comments on the Secondary Plan should be directed to Mr. Andrew Fyfe at the Township and copied to Mr. Ron Palme with the Planning Partnership | None to date |
| August 28, 2008 PIC comment sheet Ref#1 | Expressed concern with traffic issues, specifically proposed traffic lights on Doran Rd. hill and Gill Rd./St. Vincent, traffic volume, truck traffic without any major road reconstruction. Suggest identified truck routes or bypasses. Concerned with future impact of #427 (currently on hold). Concerned with impacts on quality of life, taxes, property values and emergency services. Preferred Water Option D and Wastewater Option B | October 3, 2008 letter from Ainley responding to PIC comments as follows; traffic lights and road construction on Doran Rd. at the Gill Rd./St. Vincent intersection will be assessed and a truck route may need to be identified; noted that future planning of Hwy 427 will be determined by Province; acknowledged concerns with impact on quality of life and commented that amount of growth will be determined by Secondary Plan; with respect to impact on taxes, costs associated with servicing planned growth will be borne by developers and Township will need to pay only if existing Midhurst developed area are serviced; acknowledged that property values may be affected (either increased or decreased) which will be addressed through Secondary Plan; and Emergency Services will be provided as required depending on future growth. | None to date |
| August 28, 2008 PIC comment sheet Ref#2 | Agrees with proposed plans for water and wastewater servicing. However, disagrees with amount of growth being allowed by the Township. Preferred Water Option D and Wastewater Option D. Expressed concern with increased traffic to Midhurst and through Midhurst. Also expresses concern with loss of the current beautiful nature of Midhurst with increased traffic and development | October 3, 2008 letter from Ainley noted that concerns of amount of growth being allowed should be directed to the Midhurst Secondary Plan process and letter was copied to Andrew Fyfe. Concern regarding increased traffic was acknowledged and every effort will be made to properly plan for it. | None to date |
| August 28, 2008 PIC comment sheet Ref#3 | PIC was useful. | None | None to date |
| August 28, 2008 PIC comment sheet Ref#4 | Suggested that a traffic signal is required at intersection of Glen Echo and Bayfield Street due to volume and speed of traffic in area. Preferred Water Option E and Wastewater Option C | None | None to date |
| August 28, 2008 PIC comment sheet Ref#5 | Requested copies of water, sewage and highway changes to be mailed to them. | October 3, 2008 letter responding to comment sheet and advising that hard copies of the presentation material are not available as hand-outs but can be viewed at the Township offices. | None to date |
| September 11, 2008 letter from Stantec | Attended PIC on behalf of 451082 Ontario Inc. (Walton property). A drawing was attached showing the location of this property. Request that consideration be given to moving proposed location of WWTP in Development Area 2 further north or configure it in such a way that it would support the future connection of a north south local right of way for future residential development of their property. | October 3, 2008 letter advising that they have been added to the mailing list for future correspondence. Responded that sufficient land is available to accommodate both a further WWTP and a 20 m road allowance. Noted that they will be kept informed of future decisions. | October 29, 2008 letter from Stantec requesting Ainley confirm the approximate size of the WWTP and associated tile fields as well as location. Also reiterated that their client intends to develop the lands directly south of Development Area 2 and therefore request the WWTP be located such that access can be provided and suitable buffers are maintained |
| October 30, 2008 letter from MOE to County of Simcoe | Informed County that MOE has revised its regional boundaries and that Simcoe County has been transferred from the Southwestern Region to the Central Region. Expressed concern about large communal sewage systems proposing subsurface effluent discharge. Requested meeting to discuss | None | None to date |

**TOWNSHIP OF SPRINGWATER
MASTER SERVICING PLAN
MIDHURST SECONDARY PLAN AREA**

COMMUNICATION PLAN

| DESCRIPTION | Comments/Concerns | Response | Response |
|--|---|---|---|
| October 31, 2008 email from MOE | Reiterated October 30, 2008 letter with respect to concern over large communal sewage systems proposing subsurface effluent discharge and requested meeting with the County and relevant municipalities. | None | None to date |
| November 7, 2008 letter from Davies Howe Partners to MOE Re: Comments on Proposed Communal Sewage System with Subsurface Effluent Disposal | Responding to MOE letter of October 30, 2008. Expresses concern with MOE's stance on subsurface effluent discharge and differing opinions between offices (Central Region vs. Southwestern Region). Provides counter arguments to issues raised in the MOE letter and also provides examples of other systems with subsurface effluent disposal and planning rationale. | None | None to date |
| November 21, 2008 email from Darlene Duiker | Requested to be added to mailing list | None | None to date |
| January 6, 2009 letter from Simcoe County to MOE Re: Large Communal Sewage Systems in Simcoe County | Inquiring about date of meeting and stressing the importance of solving issue of subsurface effluent disposal for their approval of development applications | None | None to date |
| January 26, 2009 letter from Ainley to MOE | Further to January 6, 2009 letter above, provides update with status of Midhurst Master Plan including a summary of the progression of the Class EA to that date and discussions with the MOE. Concludes that any decision or Policy made against the use of subsurface effluent disposal would be premature at this time. | None | None to date |
| May 27, 2009 letter from Geranium | To ensure growth management strategies, Geranium is prepared to convey directly to the Township of Springwater, two parcels of property for the placement and siting of water and wastewater treatment facilities. Notes that at the April 20, 2009 Steering Committee meeting, Geranium requested that be given to siting the water treatment plant within the Doran Road South area and therefore within Geranium lands. Provides advantages for this site. | June 2, 2009 letter from Ainley. Notes that current preferred solution locates Water Treatment Facility in Doran Road North land. If the location is changed, would require going through preferred solution selection process and would delay the proposed filing of the Master Plan document. However, the exact location will be investigated further in Phase 3 of the EA and their proposal will be reconsidered then. | June 3, 2009 letter from Gemmell Engineering. Their client (Alliance) is committed to the advancement of the Midhurst Settlement area and is willing to provide any necessary dedications and/or easements required for the Water Treatment Facility, access road, raw water/treated water servicing corridors within their lands. Provides comments with respect to Geranium's arguments for the Water Treatment Facility to be located in Doran Road South lands. |
| June 4, 2009 email from Geranium | Supports Ainley's recommendation in June 2, 2009 letter and supports commitment made by Gemmell (on behalf of Alliance) in their June 3, 2009 letter. Noted that the appropriate time to convey the lands required for the Preferred Solutions is on the completion of Phase 3 of the EA. Also notes that it will be necessary for developers to provide appropriate security to ensure that construction costs are covered. | None | None to date |